

1 JAMES C. BRAZELTON
2 Stanislaus County District Attorney
3 800 11th Street, Room #200
4 Modesto, California 95353
5 (209) 525-5550

FILED
03 JUN 13 PM 4:38

SUPERIOR COURT
OF STANISLAUS
Russell W. Adams
DEPUTY

7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

10 IN RE: SEARCH WARRANT PERTAINING TO)
11 SCOTT PETERSON INVESTIGATION) RETURN ON SEARCH
12) WARRANT
13)
14) (UNDER SEAL)

15 I, Investigator **Steven P. Jacobson**, with the Stanislaus County District Attorney's Office,
16 having this day make my return to the attached Search Warrant, say:

17 1. That I received the said warrant on May 28, 2003, and under its authority, thereafter
18 on May 29, 2003, through June 5, 2003, I served said warrant upon the following telecommunication
19 companies: AT&T Wireless, Nextel, Cingular, Sprint, and SBC. That after providing these
20 telecommunication companies with said search warrant, I received the following:

- 21 #1-(12) faxed pages of toll information from AT&T Wireless Inc.;
- 22 #2- (2) faxed pages of subscriber information from Nextel;
- 23 #3- (8) faxed pages of subscriber information from Cingular;
- 24 #4- (4) faxed pages of subscriber information from Sprint;
- 25 #5- (15) faxed pages of subscriber information from SBC;
- 26 #6- (5) faxed pages of subscriber information from AT&T Wireless Inc.;
- 27
- 28

1 #7-(1) FedEx envelope, tracking #8400 2262 3865, with the following contents;

2 #8-(1) Anti-Static Media Mailer CD/DVD envelope with "Surveillance video
3 F239466" marked on the front. The envelope contained a CD/DVD;

4 #9-(1) Anti-Static Media Mailer CD/DVD envelope addressed to me with
5 "F239466" marked on the front. The envelope contained a CD containing the original toll
6 information from (415) 990-1817;

7 #10-(1) AT&T Wireless envelope made out to me with "File 239466" marked on
8 the front. The envelope contained seven (7) pages of legal documentation;

9 #11-(1) AT&T Wireless envelope addressed to AT&T Wireless, Hayward,
10 California. On the backside of the envelope it reads, "Ca Latham Act (account) Paperwork,
11 Do Not Throw Away." Inside this envelope appeared to be the Original AT&T Wireless
12 Retail Customer Reference Checklist.

13 2. All the aforementioned property taken by virtue of said warrant will be retained in the
14 custody of the Stanislaus Drug Enforcement Agency (SDEA), subject to the order of this court or of
15 any other court in which the offense in respect to which the property or things taken is triable.

16 3. I request that this Return on Search Warrant be sealed and kept with the original
17 Search Warrant and Affidavit in Support of Search Warrant, which is in the custody of the
18 Stanislaus County Superior Court and to be disclosed only upon a showing of good cause before
19 a judge of competent jurisdiction. As previously stated and requested in the Affidavit in Support
20 of Search Warrant, there is reason to believe that notification to the subscriber(s), customer(s), or
21 to any unauthorized person of the issuance of the court's Order may result in potential witnesses,
22 possibly changing their testimony. In addition, some of the information used in this Affidavit in
23 Support of Search Warrant has been used in previous applications to the Court, which has been
24 ordered sealed by the reviewing Magistrate. If this Return on Search Warrant, with its Affidavit
25 in Support of Search Warrant and Search Warrant were to be disclosed it could undermine the
26 sealing order made by the previous reviewing Magistrate, the Honorable Judge Roger M.
27
28


DM
6/15/02

1 ~~Beauchesne. Therefore, because the Affidavit in Support of Search Warrant contains~~
2 ~~confidential information, which has already been ordered sealed, I request that this Return on~~
3 ~~Search Warrant be ordered sealed as well by the magistrate in order to implement the privilege~~
4 ~~under Evidence Code section 1040, 1042 and to protect the identity of any confidential~~
5 ~~information, pursuant to the Supreme Court decision in People v. Janet Marie Hobbs (1994) 7~~
6 ~~Cal. 4th 948 and People v. Walker (1991) 230 Cal. App. 3rd.~~

8 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

9 Dated at Modesto, California, this 13th day of June 2003.

10 *6/13/03*

11 
12 _____
13 Steven P. Jacobson
14 Criminal Investigator II

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


1
2 ORDER

3
4 Good cause appearing therefor, IT IS ORDERED that the property described in the attached
5 RETURN ON SEARCH WARRANT be delivered to the custody of the Stanislaus Drug
6 Enforcement Agency (SDEA) as evidence in the criminal proceedings which has commenced, which
7 said property is relevant and material evidence, and that disposition thereafter be made of the
8 property according to the appropriate law(s).
9

10 ~~IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Return on Search Warrant be placed with the~~
11 ~~original Search Warrant and Affidavit in Support of Search Warrant and be sealed and kept in the~~
12 ~~custody of the Stanislaus County Superior Court Clerk's Office.~~

13 Dated at Modesto, California, this 13th day of June 2003.

14 *TV 6/13/03*



The Honorable Judge Michael Cummins
Judge of the Stanislaus County Superior Court